

**From:** Julian Pearson [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 02 September 2020 12:23  
**To:** Norfolk Boreas <NorfolkBoreas@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** (late) response to deadline 14

Dear Examining Authority.

I sincerely apologise for missing deadline 14 (25<sup>th</sup> August) and put forward the following responses in the hope that they might still be considered

Q5.9.5.5. HHPC would like to see BOTH.. but our concerns remain that the applicant is still intending to defer until after consent is given.

Q5.9.5.6 HHPC would like additional wording for ease of understanding “The Design guide should list all 9 notes of section III, Paragraph 7 (Design) of the Horlock rules and specify how each has been addressed in the design review”. This will demonstrate the established guidelines of the national Grid have been used.

Q5.9.5.8 HHPC has no informed comment to make on this question

Q5.16.0.1 HHPC would like to see at least a high level design review for the mitigation of the Necton substation, PRIOR to the decision by SoS of an obstructive condition that requires that design guide to be completed, reviewed and agreed with Breckland AND an independent forum, to ensure all design notes in the Horlock rules have been addressed.

Best regards

Julian Pearson

[REDACTED] (Landline)

[REDACTED] (Mobile)

Skype:- [REDACTED]